

Complex interactions among host pines and fungi vectored by an invasive bark beetle

Min Lu¹, Michael J. Wingfield², Nancy E. Gillette³, Sylvia R. Mori³ and Jiang-Hua Sun¹

¹State Key Laboratory of Integrated Management of Pest Insects and Rodents, Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China;

²Tree Protection Co-operation Programme, Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute, University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0002, South Africa; ³PSW Research Station, USDA Forest Service, Berkeley, CA 94701, USA

Summary

Author for correspondence:

Jiang-Hua Sun

Tel: +86 10 6480 7121

Email: sunjh@ioz.ac.cn

Received: 11 March 2010

Accepted: 20 April 2010

New Phytologist (2010) **187**: 859–866
doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03316.x

Key words: bark beetle–fungi–host interactions, chemical ecology, complex interactions, invasive species, invasive symbiotic complex.

- Recent studies have investigated the relationships between pairs or groups of exotic species to illustrate invasive mechanisms, but most have focused on interactions at a single trophic level.
- Here, we conducted pathogenicity tests, analyses of host volatiles and fungal growth tests to elucidate an intricate network of interactions between the host tree, the invasive red turpentine beetle and its fungal associates.
- Seedlings inoculated with two strains of *Leptographium procerum* isolated from *Dendroctonus valens* in China had significantly longer lesions and higher mortality rates than seedlings inoculated with other fungal isolates. These two strains of *L. procerum* were significantly more tolerant of 3-carene than all other fungi isolated there, and the infection of Chinese pine (*Pinus tabulaeformis*) seedlings by these two strains enhanced the production and release of 3-carene, the main attractant for *D. valens*, by the seedlings.
- Our results raise the possibility that interactions among the fungal associates of *D. valens* and their pine hosts in China may confer advantages to these strains of *L. procerum* and, by extension, to the beetles themselves. These interactions may therefore enhance invasion by the beetle–fungal complex.

Introduction

Recent studies have shown that the interactions of hosts, pathogens and vectors can manipulate vector insect behavior to enhance dispersal of the pathogens (Lacroix *et al.*, 2005; Mayer *et al.*, 2008), resulting in a positive feedback mechanism between the insect vector and its symbiotic pathogen. Most of the past studies on interactions between bark beetles, fungi and hosts have focused on the development and maintenance of symbioses, mutualistic relationships or host defenses (Paine *et al.*, 1997; Lieutier, 2004; Six & Klepzig, 2004; Klepzig *et al.*, 2009). However, such complex interactions have rarely been explored as a mechanism to enhance invasive success, which is the hypothesis presented here.

Approximately 25 yr ago, the red turpentine beetle, *Dendroctonus valens*, was accidentally introduced into China, where it attacks several pines, particularly Chinese pine (*Pinus tabulaeformis*) (Yan *et al.*, 2005). Since its introduction

into China, *D. valens* has become an aggressive, tree-killing species there (Yan *et al.*, 2005), whereas in North America, it is widely considered to be a secondary pest (Smith, 1961). Differences in host attraction were ruled out as an explanation for this phenomenon (Sun *et al.*, 2004; Erbilgin *et al.*, 2007), and so we embarked upon a comparative study of *D. valens* fungal associates in its native and introduced regions in order to elucidate the possible role of symbiotic fungi in this behavioral shift. The symbiotic relationship between *D. valens* and its phoretic *Leptographium* spp. fungi, especially the two *Leptographium procerum* strains most commonly isolated in China, is probably mutualistic, because the fungi benefit from these symbioses by gaining transport to new host trees, and beetles benefit by using the fungi to help overcome tree defenses (Lieutier, 2004). In addition, laboratory studies have shown that *D. valens* larvae that were fed the two Chinese isolates of *L. procerum* gained significantly more weight than larvae fed other isolates (B. Wang, M. Lu & J. H. Sun, unpublished).

Both the fungus and the beetle therefore appear to gain in fitness from the association. When we began this study, little was known about the possible acquisition of new ophiostomatoid (sap-staining) fungal associates by *D. valens* since its introduction into China, and we hypothesized that new Chinese fungal associates might be more virulent, more competitive or otherwise better adapted to kill host trees than the complement of sap-staining fungi normally associated with *D. valens* in North America. Past studies conducted in North America have focused on intact native beetle–fungal associations rather than on invasive beetle–fungal complexes (Paine *et al.*, 1997; Six & Klepzig, 2004), but new beetle–fungal–host associations arising from invasions could provide a Pandora’s box of possible outcomes with potentially severe consequences for native forest ecosystems.

Several species of pathogenic fungi were isolated from *D. valens* in China (the introduced area) during the course of this study (Table 1), including the introduced *L. procerum*, as well as several new fungal associates (*L. sino-procerum*, *Hyalorhinocladiella pinicola*, *L. pini-densiflorae* and *Ophiostoma minus*; Lu, 2008; Lu *et al.*, 2009), whereas *L. terebrantis* and *L. procerum* are most often associated with this bark beetle in North America (the native area) (Wingfield, 1983; Klepzig *et al.*, 1995; Six *et al.*, 2003). Amongst the *D. valens*-associated fungi in China, only *L. procerum* appears to have been introduced from North America as an invasive beetle–fungal complex (Lu, 2008), although, oddly, *L. procerum* has actually not been reported from the northwestern United States, the putative source of the introduction to China. Here, we conducted pathogenicity tests, analyses of host volatiles and fungal growth tests to investigate complex interactions between the Chinese host tree (*P. tabuliformis*), the invasive red turpentine beetle *D. valens* and its fungal associates, especially *L. procerum*, that might explain its invasiveness in China.

Materials and Methods

Strains, seedlings and inoculations

Three isolates of *Leptographium procerum* (Kendr.) Wingf. (one isolated from *Dendroctonus valens* LeConte (Coleoptera: Scolytinae) in the USA and two isolated from *D. valens* in China) and one isolate each of *L. terebrantis*, *H. pinicola*, *L. pini-densiflorae* and *O. minus* were used in *Pinus tabuliformis* Carrière seedling inoculations (Table 1). Hereafter, we refer to the two strains of *L. procerum* originating from the USA but isolated in China as ‘Chinese-invasive’ strains, whereas the strain isolated from *D. valens* in the USA and *L. terebrantis* from the USA are referred to as American strains, and the native Chinese fungi are referred to as Chinese strains/species. A separate population genetics study (M. Lu, M. Wingfield & J. H. Sun, unpublished) provided substantial evidence that the two Chinese-invasive *L. procerum* strains originated in North America, because all alleles were shared by North American *L. procerum* and no allele was unique to the Chinese populations. One Chinese-invasive *L. procerum* (CMW25614) was collected from *D. valens* specimens in the Yaopin Forest Station (35°46’N, 109°16’E; average elevation, 1000 m), Shaanxi Province. The other Chinese fungal associates, including the other Chinese-invasive strain of *L. procerum* (CMW25569), were collected from *D. valens* specimens in Tunlanchuan Forest Station (37°48’N, 111°44’E; average elevation, 1400 m), Shanxi Province. The American *L. procerum* and *L. terebrantis* were collected from *D. valens* in Idaho and Vermont (Lu, 2008). All isolates were maintained on malt extract agar (MEA) in the culture collection of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, South Africa. We chose not to perform multiple inoculations on seedlings in order to avoid excessive mechanical damage to the seedling stems.

Table 1 *Pinus tabuliformis* seedling health and lesion length associated with inoculations of Chinese-invasive, American and Chinese *Dendroctonus valens*-associated fungi after 2 months

Inoculum	Collection locality (native, invasive ¹)	Isolate number	% Seedlings			Lesion length (cm) ± SD
			Healthy	Dying	Dead	
<i>Leptographium procerum</i>	China (invasive)	CMW 25569	25	65	10	2.32 ± 0.79 a
<i>L. procerum</i>	USA (native)	CMW 10217	95	5	0	0.57 ± 0.13 c
<i>L. procerum</i>	China (invasive)	CMW 25614	15	55	30	2.36 ± 0.68 a
<i>L. terebrantis</i>	USA (native)	CMW 1764	95	5	0	0.76 ± 0.17 b
<i>Hyalorhinocladiella pinicola</i>	China (native)	CMW 25613	100	0	0	0.46 ± 0.02 d
<i>L. pini-densiflorae</i>	China (native)	CMW 25600	100	0	0	0.44 ± 0.04 d
<i>Ophiostoma minus</i>	China (native)	CMW 26254	100	0	0	0.44 ± 0.03 d
Control			100	0	0	0.41 ± 0.01 e

Twenty 2-yr-old seedlings were inoculated in each treatment. Letters indicate significant differences across treatments ($P < 0.05$).

¹Native or invasive with respect to the collection locality.

Although some reports have indicated that low-density inoculations are poorly correlated with virulence (Krokene & Solheim, 1999), other studies have shown good correlation between responses from mass-inoculated mature trees and lesion length in wound-inoculated seedlings, monoterpene production by inoculated trees and/or fungal growth on malt agar (Krokene & Solheim, 1998; Eckhardt *et al.*, 2004; Lieutier *et al.*, 2004).

Two-year-old *P. tabuliformis* seedlings (stem diameter, 6–8 mm) were planted in plastic pots (diameter, 12 cm) and established for 4 wk in a glasshouse at *c.* 25°C. For inoculations (one inoculation per seedling), wounds were made on the bases of seedlings using a cork borer (diameter, 4 mm) to remove the bark and expose the cambium. Plugs of mycelium were taken from 7-d-old fungal cultures grown on MEA using the same sized cork borer and were placed into the wounds with the mycelial surface facing the cambium. Plugs of MEA alone (without fungi) were applied to trees in the same manner to serve as controls. To prevent desiccation and contamination, inoculated wounds were sealed with laboratory film (Parafilm M; Pechiney Plastic Packaging, Chicago, IL, USA).

Experiment 1

We tested the pathogenicity of the Chinese, Chinese-invasive and American *D. valens*-associated fungi to *P. tabuliformis* seedlings by inoculating 20 seedlings per treatment using the methods described above. In the phloem, fusiform necrotic lesions formed above and below the inoculation points as a reaction to the inoculum. Virulence was evaluated by measuring the length of these necrotic lesions in the phloem. After 2 months, seedlings were recorded as living, dying (chlorotic) or dead; all seedlings were uprooted and the lesion length resulting from inoculation was measured. Re-isolation of the fungus was attempted on 2% MEA from the inoculation area. After 7 d, the plates were examined for the presence of the respective fungi (Eckhardt *et al.*, 2004) to confirm that there had been no cross-contamination.

Experiment 2

We inoculated seedlings with the Chinese, Chinese-invasive and American *D. valens*-associated fungi, and then uprooted a subset of the seedlings at 5-d intervals, excised the necrotic lesions, measured them and quantified the monoterpenes extracted from them. Three to seven seedlings per treatment were sampled at 3, 8, 13, 18, 23, 28 and 33 d; the number per sample varied because of seedling mortality during the sampling period. The monoterpene contents were determined using the methods described previously (Raffa & Berryman, 1982). Briefly, phloem samples were finely chopped with a razor blade and monoterpenes

were extracted in 10 ml hexane for 24 h. We added 0.1% *p*-cymene (99%) purchased from Pherotech International Inc. (Delta, BC, Canada) to the hexane solution as an internal standard. This monoterpene is not present in *P. tabuliformis* phloem and is easily separated from the naturally present monoterpenes. The extract was separated from phloem by vacuum filtration, and dried over calcium chloride for 1 h. Separations were performed on a gas chromatograph–mass spectrometer (GC–MS) (Hewlett Packard 6890N GC model coupled with 5973 MSD) equipped with a DB-WAX column (60 m length × 0.25 mm i.d. × 0.25 m film) (J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA, USA). The GC oven temperature program was set at 50°C for 2 min, increased to 220°C at 5°C min⁻¹, increased to 230°C at 4°C min⁻¹ and then set at 230°C for 5 min. The on-column injector temperature was 220°C and helium was the carrier gas (flow rate, 1 ml min⁻¹). The MS electron impact source was operated in scan mode (30–300 (atomic mass units (amu)) with the MS source temperature at 230°C and the MS Quad at 150°C. The identifications of chromatogram peaks were based on comparisons with retention times and mass spectra of known standards and those in the NIST02 library (Scientific Instrument Services, Inc., Ringoes, NJ, USA).

The total quantities of monoterpenes in each lesion were determined by comparison with the known quantities of *p*-cymene and corrected for differences in relative response factors, as described by Raffa & Steffek (1988). Monoterpene concentrations were also determined on a dry weight of phloem basis by oven drying (following extraction) and weighing each phloem lesion sample.

Experiment 3

We quantified the headspace monoterpenes released over time from seedlings inoculated with the Chinese, Chinese-invasive and American *D. valens*-associated fungi. Three to seven seedlings per treatment were sampled at 3, 8, 13, 18, 23, 28 and 33 d (sample number varied because of seedling mortality). An effluvial headspace sampling method, modified from that of Andersson (2003), was used to collect volatiles from seedlings with different treatments. Each potted plant was enclosed in a 42 × 45 cm² plastic oven bag (Reynolds, Richmond, VA, USA) sealed with self-sealing strips at the opening around each stem, at a height of 1–2 cm above soil level. Compressed air (Beijing Gas Main Plant, Beijing, China) was purified and humidified through three 500 ml glass jars filled with molecular sieve (0.5 nm; Beijing Chemical Company, Beijing, China), freshly activated charcoal (Beijing Chemical Company) and distilled water, respectively. The filtered and moisturized air was pushed into the bag at the rate of 200 ml min⁻¹, and then drawn from the bag via an in-line collector (a glass tube with an internal diameter of 3 mm) containing




Fig. 1 Lesion lengths on *Pinus tabuliformis* seedlings (\pm SD) after inoculation with Chinese-invasive, American and Chinese fungi associated with *Dendroctonus valens* after 3, 8, 13, 18, 23, 28 and 33 d. Three to seven 2-yr-old seedlings were inoculated in each treatment. Type III tests of fixed effects results: isolate, $F_{7,305} = 20\,314.4$, $P < 0.0001$; time, $F_{6,305} = 8859.45$, $P < 0.0001$; isolate \times time, $F_{42,305} = 3235.94$, $P < 0.0001$.

100 mg of Super Q chromatographic substrate (80–100 mesh, Alltech Association Inc., Deerfield, IL, USA) at a rate of 200 ml min^{-1} by a membrane pump (Beijing Institute of Labour Instruments, Beijing, China) for 24 h. This positive/negative pressure system ensured that no ambient air was pulled into the bag. Volatile compounds were extracted from the Super-Q using 1 ml high-performance liquid chromatography-grade hexane with 0.1% *p*-cymene as internal standard. Extracts were stored at -20°C until chemical analyses. Immediately after headspace sampling, oven-dried plants were weighed. Collected volatiles were analyzed and identified as in Expt 2.

Experiment 4

We determined the effects of individual volatile compounds on the growth of each test fungus using a modification of the methods of Hofstetter *et al.* (2005). We placed a 0.5 cm disk of MEA colonized with actively growing hyphae of each of the seven test isolates (Table 1) at the centers of 90 mm Petri dishes containing 2% MEA. We assayed eight volatile compounds commonly found in healthy and *D. valens*-attacked *P. tabuliformis*, including (+)- α -pinene, camphene, (–)- β -pinene, myrcene, (–)-limonene, (+)-3-carene, β -phellandrene and terpinolene (purities of 85%, 86%, 80%, 84%, 87%, 86%, 85% and 88%,

respectively) purchased from Pherotech International Inc. (Delta, BC, Canada). Each compound (1.0 ml) was absorbed onto sterile filter paper (diameter, 55 mm) and glued inside the lid of the Petri dish with the actively growing fungus. We incubated each plate upside down at 25°C in darkness. Each treatment and a control (filter paper alone) were replicated five times for each fungus. We traced the outer edge of fungus growth on the outside of the dish every 2 d using a map tracer. The colony diameter was then measured in four directions (0° , 90° , 180° and 270°) and the average of these measurements was taken to give a growth value for each sampling interval. We ended the assay when the fungus reached the edges of the Petri dishes.

Statistical analyses

For the first experiment, we analyzed the lesion length of fungi among treatments for each of the strains using one-way ANOVA, and employed the Bonferroni approach for pair-wise comparisons among treatments. For Expts 2 and 3, we applied a two-way ANOVA with treatment, time (in days) and treatment–time interaction as fixed effects to each of the following responses: the lesion length (cm), eight compounds (α -pinene, camphene, β -pinene, myrcene, 3-carene, limonene, β -phellandrene and terpinolene) from phloem (ng g^{-1} phloem within lesion) and headspace (ng g^{-1} dry seedling h^{-1}). As new seedlings were used for measurement at each occasion, we assumed all the responses to be independent of each other. For Expt 4, we analyzed the mean linear growth of fungi among treatments for each of the strains using one-way ANOVA for each sampling date. The Bonferroni approach was used to test pair-wise comparisons among treatments for 3, 18 and 33 d periods for an experiment-wise error rate equal to 0.05. For all ANOVA analyses, we tested the normal distribution (normality diagnostics) and homogeneity (Levene's test) of the variances of the responses for each treatment. We used SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC, USA) and SPSS 11.5 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for the statistical procedures.

Results

In Expts 1, 2 and 3, all seedlings from which re-isolations were attempted yielded only the inoculated fungus. None of the test fungi were isolated from discolored tissue associated with control wounds.

Tests comparing the pathogenicity of Chinese, Chinese-invasive and American *D. valens*-associated fungi on host seedlings (Expt 1) showed that 2 months following inoculation, 15 of 20 seedlings inoculated with one Chinese-invasive *L. procerum* (CMW 25569) and 17 of 20 seedlings inoculated with the other Chinese-invasive *L. procerum* (CMW 25614) were either dead or dying (Table 1).

However, only one of 20 seedlings was dead or dying among those inoculated with the American *L. procerum*. The lesions caused by the Chinese-invasive *L. procerum* were also significantly longer than those caused by American *L. procerum* (Table 1). As for other isolates, only one of 20 seedlings inoculated with American *L. terebrantis* was dying. All seedlings inoculated with Chinese *H. pinicola*, *L. pini-desiflorae* and *O. minus* were healthy, and no control seedlings died. Among all inoculated isolates, the two Chinese-invasive *L. procerum* strains caused the longest lesions in host seedlings. In the first phase of Expt 2 (lesion lengths), the two Chinese-invasive *L. procerum* strains caused significantly longer lesions than any other strains or species 8, 13, 18, 23, 28 and 33 d after inoculation (Fig. 1).

In host volatile analyses (second phase of Expts 2 and 3), the common terpenes produced from phloem inoculated with all of the *D. valens*-associated fungi were α -pinene, camphene, β -pinene, myrcene, 3-carene, limonene, β -phellandrene and terpinolene, whereas 3-carene and terpinolene were not detected from controls. There were no differences in the concentrations of α -pinene, camphene, β -pinene, myrcene, limonene and β -phellandrene among all

fungal treatments (Expt 2: α -pinene isolate, $F_{6,170} = 4.23$, $P = 0.21$; camphene isolate, $F_{6,174} = 5.11$, $P = 0.17$; β -pinene isolate, $F_{6,178} = 3.11$, $P = 0.40$; myrcene isolate, $F_{6,175} = 2.10$, $P = 0.56$; limonene isolate, $F_{6,178} = 3.48$, $P = 0.32$; β -phellandrene isolate, $F_{6,180} = 3.56$, $P = 0.39$; Expt 3: α -pinene isolate, $F_{6,201} = 5.78$, $P = 0.31$; camphene isolate, $F_{6,212} = 6.72$, $P = 0.23$; β -pinene isolate, $F_{6,207} = 2.99$, $P = 0.67$; myrcene isolate, $F_{6,211} = 4.15$, $P = 0.34$; limonene isolate, $F_{6,212} = 5.48$, $P = 0.29$; β -phellandrene isolate, $F_{6,209} = 3.72$, $P = 0.31$). Neither were there differences in the concentrations of 3-carene and terpinolene after only 3 d (Figs 2a,b, 3a,b). However, there were significant differences in 3-carene and terpinolene concentrations among all fungal treatments 8, 13, 18, 23, 28 and 33 d after inoculation (Figs 2a,b, 3a,b), with the two Chinese-invasive *L. procerum* isolates inducing higher concentrations of 3-carene and terpinolene than all other isolates.

Fungal growth tests (Expt 4) showed that, of the secondary metabolites produced by trees, (+)- α -pinene, (-)- β -pinene and (+)-3-carene had the most striking impact on the rate of growth of fungi on MEA (Fig. 4). (+)-3-Carene significantly reduced the growth of all fungi, except for




Fig. 2 (a) 3-Carene and (b) terpinolene concentrations (\pm SD) from *Pinus tabulaeformis* seedling phloem associated with inoculations of Chinese-invasive, American and Chinese *Dendroctonus valens*-associated fungi after 3, 8, 13, 18, 23, 28 and 33 d. Three to seven 2-yr-old seedlings were inoculated in each treatment. Type III tests of fixed effects results for 3-carene (a): isolate, $F_{6,172} = 160\ 335$, $P < 0.0001$; time, $F_{6,172} = 219\ 444$, $P < 0.0001$; isolate \times time, $F_{36,172} = 35\ 741.1$, $P < 0.0001$. Type III tests of fixed effects results for terpinolene (b): isolate, $F_{6,210} = 60\ 674.2$, $P < 0.0001$; time, $F_{6,210} = 213\ 387$, $P < 0.0001$; isolate \times time, $F_{36,210} = 28\ 149.4$, $P < 0.0001$.




Fig. 3 (a) 3-Carene and (b) terpinolene headspace concentrations (\pm SD) from *Pinus tabulaeformis* seedlings associated with inoculations of Chinese-invasive, American and Chinese *Dendroctonus valens*-associated fungi after 3, 8, 13, 18, 23, 28 and 33 d. Three to seven 2-yr-old seedlings were inoculated in each treatment. Type III tests of fixed effects results for 3-carene (a): isolate, $F_{6,213} = 91\ 062.3$, $P < 0.0001$; time, $F_{6,213} = 1\ 681\ 834$, $P < 0.0001$; isolate \times time, $F_{36,213} = 45\ 914.7$, $P < 0.0001$. Type III tests of fixed effects results for terpinolene (b): isolate, $F_{6,214} = 57\ 066.8$, $P < 0.0001$; time, $F_{6,214} = 73\ 383.7$, $P < 0.0001$; isolate \times time, $F_{36,214} = 18\ 351.2$, $P < 0.0001$.

the two Chinese-invasive strains of *L. procerum*, and (+)- α -pinene and (-)- β -pinene enhanced the growth of Chinese-invasive *L. procerum* more than any other fungi.

Discussion

The Chinese-invasive strains of *L. procerum* induced significantly higher concentrations of 3-carene – in both phloem tissue and seedling headspace volatiles – than other fungi associated with *D. valens* in either the USA or China, and yet they were more tolerant of the monoterpene than all of the other fungal strains tested. (+)- α -Pinene and (-)- β -pinene, which are normally released from both healthy and *D. valens*-attacked hosts (Zhang, 2006), enhanced the growth of Chinese-invasive *L. procerum* more than any other fungi. These are the same two strains that caused significantly longer lesions and higher mortality in inoculated seedlings than in other isolates. Host seedlings responded more strongly to the Chinese-invasive *L. procerum* than to other fungal strains, presumably because the Chinese-invasive *L. procerum* were more virulent to host seedlings. Other studies have shown good correlation between responses

from mass-inoculated mature trees and lesion length in wound-inoculated seedlings, monoterpene production by inoculated trees and/or fungal growth on malt agar (Krokene & Solheim, 1998; Eckhardt *et al.*, 2004; Lieutier *et al.*, 2004). Therefore, we suggest that the Chinese-invasive strains may be better adapted than other strains or have a pre-existing tolerance to the host response by tolerating high concentrations of 3-carene, α -pinene and β -pinene.

Previous research has shown that 3-carene is more attractive to *D. valens* in the field and laboratory than any other host volatile (Sun *et al.*, 2004; Zhang, 2006; Erbilgin *et al.*, 2007), and the effect is positively related to the release rate of 3-carene at rates ranging from 110 to 210 mg d⁻¹, the highest rate tested (Sun *et al.*, 2004). Although the results from our study were based on inoculations of seedlings rather than mature trees, it is possible that these two strains of *L. procerum* result in greater numbers of *D. valens* on infected host trees than do the other fungi. Further work based on field trapping or laboratory olfactometer tests should be performed to test this conjecture.

Other evidence has shown that *D. valens* larvae gain more weight by feeding on these *L. procerum* strains than on other




Fig. 4 Mean linear growth rate (\pm SD) of each fungus on 2% malt extract agar in the absence (control) or presence of volatiles from a particular monoterpene. CMW25569, $F_{8,36} = 2.987$, $P = 0.021$; CMW10217, $F_{8,36} = 4.187$, $P = 0.003$; CMW25614, $F_{8,36} = 3.173$, $P = 0.019$; CMW1764, $F_{8,36} = 5.099$, $P < 0.001$; CMW25613, $F_{8,36} = 4.201$, $P = 0.003$; CMW25600, $F_{8,36} = 4.419$, $P = 0.002$; CMW26254, $F_{8,36} = 5.396$, $P < 0.001$.

fungi, suggesting that these two strains may contribute to beetle fitness (B. Wang, M. Lu & J. H. Sun, unpublished). The inferences we can draw are limited because this study was conducted with seedlings and *in vitro* fungal cultures rather than mature trees, but, taken together, they suggest a possible mutualistic relationship that could enhance invasion by and/or spread of a beetle–fungal complex. In a similar vein, Adams *et al.* (2009) have shown that both α -pinene and volatiles of some bacterial associates are capable of stimulating the growth of native American *L. procerum*, with complex interactions between host volatiles, bacteria and fungi that can affect beetle fitness. Although all of these cases describe native, coevolved systems, adaptations that enhance fitness in the native ecosystem may also confer advantages for symbiotic associations during the invasion of a new ecosystem.

In the past, fungal and bacterial symbionts have received relatively little attention from researchers because of the

difficulties imposed by a fundamental lack of information about microbial ecology, biodiversity and biogeography (Desprez-Loustau *et al.*, 2007). Recent technological advances have greatly stimulated research of this type, but more recent studies (Scott *et al.*, 2008; Adams *et al.*, 2009; Klepzig *et al.*, 2009) and our results underscore the need for further investigations of this type. Future risk analyses for invasive species should not simply focus on the nominal invading species, but should also consider potential mutualistic and antagonistic relationships between the exotic species and its microbial symbionts (Klepzig *et al.*, 2009), particularly in situations in which the phoretic insect has shown the capacity to acquire new microbial symbionts in the invaded region.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dan Miller, Donald R. Owen and several anonymous referees for very useful comments, and Carline Carvalho, Alice Ratcliff and Roger Wingate for technical help. This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (30525009 and 30621003), the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program 2009CB119204), TPCP (Tree Protection Co-operation Programme) and a grant from the USDA Forest Service, Western Wildlands Environmental Threats Assessment Center (Prineville, Oregon, USA).

References

- Adams AS, Currie CR, Cardoza YJ, Klepzig KD, Raffa KF. 2009. Effects of bacteria and tree chemistry on the growth and reproduction of bark beetle fungal symbionts. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 39: 1133–1147.
- Andersson S. 2003. Antennal responses to floral scents in the butterflies *Inachis io*, *Aglais urticae* (Nymphalidae), and *Gonepteryx rhamni* (Pieridae). *Chemoecology* 13: 13–20.
- Desprez-Loustau ML, Robin C, Buée M, Courtecuisse R, Garbaye J, Suffert F, Satche I, Rizzo DM. 2007. The fungal dimension of biological invasions. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 22: 472–480.
- Eckhardt LG, Jones JP, Klepzig KD. 2004. Pathogenicity of *Leptographium* species associated with loblolly pine decline. *Plant Disease* 88: 1174–1178.
- Erbilgin N, Mori SR, Sun JH, Stein JD, Owen DR, Merrill LD, Campos Bolaños R, Raffa KF, Méndez Montiel T, Wood DL *et al.* 2007. Response to host volatiles by native and introduced populations of *Dendroctonus valens* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae) in North America and China. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 33: 131–146.
- Hofstetter RW, Mahfouz JB, Klepzig KD, Ayres MP. 2005. Effects of tree phytochemistry on the interactions among endophloedic fungi associated with the southern pine beetle. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 31: 539–559.
- Klepzig KD, Adams AS, Handelsman J, Raffa KF. 2009. Symbioses: a key driver of insect physiological processes, ecological interactions, evolutionary diversification, and impacts on humans. *Environmental Entomology* 38: 67–77.
- Klepzig KD, Smalley EB, Raffa KF. 1995. *Dendroctonus valens* and *Hylastes porculus* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae): vectors of pathogenic fungi

- (Ophiostomatales) associated with red pine decline disease. *Great Lakes Entomologist* 28: 81–88.
- Krokene P, Solheim H. 1998. Assessing the virulence of four bark beetle-associated bluestain fungi using Norway spruce seedlings. *Plant Pathology* 47: 537–540.
- Krokene P, Solheim H. 1999. What do low-density inoculations with fungus tell us about fungal virulence and tree resistance? In: Lieutier F, Mattson WJ, Wagner MR, eds. *Physiology and genetics of tree-phytophage interactions*. International Symposium, Gujan, France, August 32–September 5, 1997, INRA, Paris, France, Les Colloques 90, 353–362.
- Lacroix R, Mukabana WR, Gouagna LC, Koella JC. 2005. Malaria infection increases attractiveness of humans to mosquitoes. *Public Library of Science-Biology* 3: 1590–1593.
- Lieutier F. 2004. Host resistance to bark beetles and its variations. In: Lieutier F, Day KR, Battisti A, Gregoire JC, Evans HF, eds. *Bark and wood boring insects in living trees in Europe, a synthesis*. London, UK: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 135–180.
- Lieutier F, Yart A, Sauvard D, Gallois V. 2004. Variations in growth and virulence of *Leptographium wingfieldii* Morelet, a fungus associated with the bark beetle *Tomicus piniperda* L. *Annals of Forest Science* 61: 45–53.
- Lu M. 2008. *Invasiveness of Dendroctonus valens LeConte (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae) based on the interspecific facilitation between this invasive bark beetle and its related species*. PhD thesis, Institute of Zoology, the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.
- Lu Q, Decock C, Zhang XY. 2009. Ophiostomatoid fungi (Ascomycota) associated with *Pinus tabulaeformis* infested by *Dendroctonus valens* (Coleoptera) in northern China and an assessment of their pathogenicity on mature trees. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 96: 275–293.
- Mayer CJ, Vilcinskis A, Gross J. 2008. Pathogen-induced release of plant allomone manipulates vector insect behavior. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 34: 1518–1522.
- Paine TD, Raffa KF, Harrington TC. 1997. Interactions among scolytid bark beetles, their associated fungi, and live host conifers. *Annual Review of Entomology* 42: 179–206.
- Raffa KF, Berryman AA. 1982. Accumulation of monoterpenes and associated volatiles following fungal inoculation of grand fir with a fungus transmitted by the fir engraver *Scolytus ventralis* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). *Canadian Entomologist* 114: 797–810.
- Raffa KF, Steffek RJ. 1988. Computation of response factors for quantitative analysis of monoterpenes by gas liquid chromatography. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 14: 1385–1390.
- Scott JJ, Oh D-C, Yuceer MC, Klepzig KD, Clardy J, Currie CR. 2008. Bacterial protection of beetle–fungus mutualism. *Science* 322: 63.
- Six DL, Harrington TC, Steimel J, McNew D, Paine TD. 2003. Genetic relationships among *Leptographium terebrantis* and the mycangial fungi of three western *Dendroctonus* bark beetles. *Mycologia* 95: 781–792.
- Six DL, Klepzig KD. 2004. *Dendroctonus* bark beetles as model systems for studies on symbiosis. *Symbiosis* 37: 207–232.
- Smith RH. 1961. Red turpentine beetle. *US Department of Agriculture, Forest Pest Leaflet* 55: 8.
- Sun J, Miao Z, Zhang Z, Gillette N. 2004. Red turpentine beetle, *Dendroctonus valens* LeConte (Coleoptera: Scolytidae), response to host semiochemicals in China. *Environmental Entomology* 33: 206–212.
- Wingfield MJ. 1983. Pathogenicity of *Leptographium procerum* and *L. terebrantis* on *Pinus strobus* seedlings and established trees. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 13: 1238–1245.
- Yan ZL, Sun JH, Owen D, Zhang ZN. 2005. The red turpentine beetle, *Dendroctonus valens* LeConte (Scolytidae): an exotic invasive pest of pine in China. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 14: 1735–1760.
- Zhang LW. 2006. *Semiochemicals mediate the host selection of Dendroctonus valens LeConte (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae)*. PhD thesis, Institute of Zoology, the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.



About New Phytologist

- *New Phytologist* is owned by a non-profit-making **charitable trust** dedicated to the promotion of plant science, facilitating projects from symposia to open access for our Tansley reviews. Complete information is available at www.newphytologist.org.
- Regular papers, Letters, Research reviews, Rapid reports and both Modelling/Theory and Methods papers are encouraged. We are committed to rapid processing, from online submission through to publication 'as-ready' via *Early View* – our average submission to decision time is just 29 days. Online-only colour is **free**, and essential print colour costs will be met if necessary. We also provide 25 offprints as well as a PDF for each article.
- For online summaries and ToC alerts, go to the website and click on 'Journal online'. You can take out a **personal subscription** to the journal for a fraction of the institutional price. Rates start at £151 in Europe/\$279 in the USA & Canada for the online edition (click on 'Subscribe' at the website).
- If you have any questions, do get in touch with Central Office (newphytol@lancaster.ac.uk; tel +44 1524 594691) or, for a local contact in North America, the US Office (newphytol@ornl.gov; tel +1 865 576 5261).